





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/165,546	10/02/1998	KNUTH ALEXANDER	LUD5466.4-JE	8012
24972 75	590 01/24/2003			
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			EXAMINER	
666 FIFTH AVE NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			DECLOUX, AMY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2003	43

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/165.546 ALEXANDER ET AL. **Advisory Action** Examiner Art Unit 1644 Amy M. DeCloux -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 27 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires ____ __months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 September 2002. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below): (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 89-94 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a Daffidavit, b Dexhibit, or c Drequest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet

Claim(s) allowed: 84 and 88.

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) objected to: <u>76,77,79-81 and 86</u>. Claim(s) rejected: <u>74,75,78,85 and 87</u>.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6-8,11-13,16-54 and 61-73.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ____.

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 009/165,546

Continuation of 2. NOTE: . The new consideration is that proposed newly added claim 95 would be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 84. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k)...

Continuation of 10. Other: The examiner disagrees with Applicant's contention that the examiner has indicated that claims previously deemed allowable are not. Applicant contends that paper No. 38 indicates that claims 89-91 and 95 would be allowable. However, the Examiner notes that said claims 89-91 and 95 have not even been entered. Thus, said claims were also not indicated as allowable by the Examiner in Paper No. 40.

PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/23/03